



Entire Genetic Code of a Bacteria



DNA Fingerprinting



Cloning: Ethical Issues and Future Consequences




HC70A & SAS70A Spring 2017 Genetic Engineering in Medicine, Agriculture, and Law

> Professors Bob Goldberg & John Harada

Lecture 3 What Are Genes & How Do They Work: Part One












Understanding Genetic Engineering

Requires a Basic Understanding of Genes And How They Work





Understanding the Properties of Genes & How Genes Can Specify Traits Is the "Key" to Understanding Genetic Engineering by Either Classical or Molecular Approaches!!





WHAT ARE THE PROPERTIES OF A GENE?

- 1. Replication
- 2. Stability (Mutations)
- 3. Universality
 - a) All Cells
 - b) All Organisms
- 4. Direct Cell Function/Phenotype

How Can These Properties Be Tested Experimentally?
What <u>Predictions</u> Follow From These Properties?


If DNA is the Genetic Material, THEN What.....?

How Was DNA Shown to be the Genetic Material?



The World of 1915

- 1. Wright Brothers 1903
- 2. Rediscovery of Mendel's Laws 1900
- 3. The Word "Genetics" Invented 1905
- 4. Chromosomes Contained Genes 1910
- 5. First Gene Map of Chromosome 1913
- 6. First Transatlantic Phone Call 1915
- 7. US Population = 100M
- 8. World War I
- 9. Average life Span in US = 44 Years
- 10. Average US Family Income = \$8,000
- 11. 60% of Labor Force in Agriculture
- 12. UCLA Not Founded Yet (1919)
- 13. No Women's Vote (1920)



The Spanish Flu Pandemic - 1918 to 1920

It is estimated that anywhere from 50 to 100 million people were killed world wide – the approximate equivalent of one third of the population of Europe, more than double the number killed in World War I. This extraordinary toll resulted from a high death rate of up to 50%.

Characterization of the 1918 "Spanish" influenza virus neuraminidase gene PNAS June 6, 2000


Ann H. Reid,* Thomas G. Fanning, Thomas A. Janczewski, and Jeffery K. Taubenberger

Researchers detect deadly Spanish flu genes

A team of researchers in Japan and the United States have determined the causative genes for the Spanish flu that reportedly claimed the lives of some 40 million people around the world in 1918. **PNAS January**, 2009





By Sequencing the Virus Genome From Victims Dead For 80 Years & Synthesizing the "Original" Flu Virus By Genetic Engineering





1920 (CDC)	2015 (CDC)
1. Pneumonia	1. Heart Disease
2. Heart Disease	2. Cancer
 Tuberculosis Stroke 	3. Chronic Respiratory Diseases (e.g., Emphysema & Bronchitis)
 5. Kidney Disease 6. Cancer 	 4. Unintentional Accidents (e.g.,Cars) 5. Stroke
7. Unintentional Accidents (excluding cars)	6. Alzheimer's Disease
8. Diarrhea, Enteritis, Intestinal Lesions	7. Diabetes8. Influenza & Pneumonia
9. Premature Birth	9. Kidney Disease
10. Maternal Death Giving Birth	10. Intentional Self Harm (Suicide)
<u>Note</u> : Based on 1.1 M Deaths (1,300 per 100,000). Child Mortality = 100 per 1,000	11. Septicemia (Bacteria) <u>Note</u> : Based on 2.5M Deaths (731 per 100,000). Child Mortality 6 per 1,000



















What Was The Transforming Principle? Experiments of Avery, McCleod, & McCarty Fast Forward to the 1940s!










DNA is the Genetic Material!

One of the Major Reasons Watson and Crick Considered DNA As the Genetic Material In Order to Solve DNA Structure


J. Exp. Med., 1944









What Are the Major Chemical Components of a Bacterial Cell? What Could Be the Transforming Principle?










a. yes b. no











All Organisms Can Be Transformed!! Genetic Engineering Has Come a Long Way Since Griffiths Experiments in 1928!!























Clues to the Double Helix-Chargaff's RulesSTOPPEDPurines = Pyrimidines

Percentage of Base in DNA					Ratios	
Organism	A	Т	G	С	A:T	G:C
Staphylococcus afermentams	12.8	12.9	36.9	37.5	0.99	0.99
Escherichia coli	26.0	23.9	24.9	25.2	1.09	0.99
Yeast	31.3	32.9	18.7	17.1	0.95	1.09
Caenorhabditis elegans*	31.2	29.1	19.3	20.5	1.07	0.96
Arabadopsis thaliana*	29.1	29.7	20.5	20.7	0.98	0.99
Drosophila melanogaster	27.3	27.6	22.5	22.5	0.99	1.00
Honeybee	34.4	33.0	16.2	16.4	1.04	0.99
Mus musculus (mouse)	29.2	29.4	21.7	19.7	0.99	1.10
Human (liver)	30.7	31.2	19.3	18.8	0.98	1.03

*Data for C. elegans and A. thaliana are based on those for close relative organisms.


Note that even though the level of any one nucleotide is different in different organisms, the amount of A always approximately equals the amount of T, and the level of G is always similar to that of C. Moreover, as you can calculate for yourself, the total amount of purines (A plus G) nearly always equals the total amount of pyrimidines (C plus T).


What Would You Predict For a Single-Stranded DNA?

THE COMPOSITION OF THE DESOXYPENTOSE NUCLEIC ACIDS OF THYMUS AND SPLEEN*


Y ERWIN CHARGAFF, ERNST VISCHER,† RUTH DONIGER, CHARLOTTF GREEN. AND FERNANDA MISANI J. Biological Chemistry, July, 1948

The New york Times	Obituaries	
Erwin Chargaff, 96, Pioneer In		
discovery of its double-helix structure the pivot As a biochemist at Columbia University in the 194	composition of DNA helped lay the groundwork for James Watson and Fr l finding of 20th-century biology died on June 20 in a New York hospita J's, Dr. Chargaff discovered regularities among the four chemical units of I ial of living organisms. But he was unable to interpret the meaning of his f ey ascertained the structure of DNA.	al. He was 96. DNA known as bases,
	- intrista' discovery and even more in its acceptance by other scientists. "Th NA, there's no doubt about that," Dr. Watson said in an interview. "We cou- ."	uld have come up "The
Dr. Chargaff later became a forceful if lonely critic they learned to move genes from one organism to	of molecular biology, accusing its practitioners of "practicing biology with another.	out a license" when technology of genetic
	asily into the sharply focused world of scientific specialists. Ever the Euro and productive tenure at Columbia. He cherished the outsider's role, mode v Viennese satirist.	eling his sardonic engineering
"I have not fitted well," Dr. Chargaff wrote in 1975 converse; yes, even into the century in which I wa	"into the country and the society in which I had to live; into the language born."	in which I had to poses a greater
	nowitz, then a provincial capital of the Austrian monarchy. His father, Her berstein, he wrote that she died, "only God knows where and when, having by his only son, Thomas.	mann, was a banker
Institute in Paris before arriving at Columbia Unit	the University of Vienna. He worked at the University of Berlin and then a ersity in 1935. After reading the 1944 report by Oswald Avery that identifi- ratory to the study of DNA and the four bases, or chemical groups, of whice	ed DNA as the the advent
He soon noticed a striking regularity about the ba adenine and thymine were almost the same, and s	e composition of DNA: from whatever plant or animal he derived DNA, the owere the amounts of cytosine and guanine.	technology"
	rogress in understanding the reason for the regularity, which is that adenir ne on the other, as is cytosine with guanine. But in a fateful and testy lunc k (who did not yet have his doctorate).	
	he later told Horace Judson, the historian of the discovery of DNA. "They t ng's alpha helix. They talked so much about 'pitch' that I remember I wrote	
in an appendix to a new edition of his book "The I	ded model of DNA came about as a consequence of our conversation." Mr ighth Day of Creation" (Cold Spring Harbor Press, 1996), concluded that D Dr. Crick had not at that time hit on the concept of base pairing, nor had D	Dr. Chargaff's claim
	t was hard for observers to understand the depth of his bitterness in his at at having missed discovering the structure of DNA, was that he was pushe ructure.	
"By 1958," Mr. Judson writes, "Dr. Chargaff was o self-serving sensationalism."	enouncing molecular biology and its practitioners for arrogance, ignorance	e, reductionism and














Plants of Tomor

































A "Simple" Gene Reviewed


- 1. <u>Sense Strand</u> = Genetic Code
- Sense Strand = 5' → 3' Direction (all DNA sequences specified 5' → 3')
- 3. <u>Anti Sense Strand</u> = Complement of Sense Strand & is Transcribed Strand
- 4. <u>mRNA</u> = Same Sequence As Sense Strand & Complementary to AntiSense Strand
- 5. <u>mRNA</u> = 5' → 3'
- 6. Switch Turns Gene On Not Transcribed But <u>Upstream of Coding Region</u>


Genes Function As Independent Units! How Know? Design Experiment to Show!


"Everything" Follows the Double Helix & Its Rules -Anti-parallel Chains & Complementary Base Pairing!
















100 Years Into The Future

- 1. If the Entire Human Genome is Sequenced?
- 2. If the Function/Protein of All Genes Are Known?
- 3. If All the Switches Are Identified & How They Go On & Off From Birth to Death?
- 4. If We Understand How Genes Are Choreographed & All the <u>Sequences</u> That Program them

What Does the Future Hold?

We Will Know at the DNA Level What Biological Information Programs Life to Death!

What Does This Mean For The Future of Humanity?

Remember - Mendel's Law Were Only Rediscovered 100 Years Ago & Look What We Can Do & Now!