Report

The SRA Methyl-Cytosine-Binding Domain Links DNA and Histone Methylation

Lianna M. Johnson,^{1,2,6} Magnolia Bostick,^{2,6} Xiaoyu Zhang,² Edward Kraft,^{4,5} Ian Henderson,² Judy Callis,^{4,5} and Steven E. Jacobsen^{2,3,*} ¹Life Science Core Curriculum ²Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology ³Howard Hughes Medical Institute University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, California 90095 ⁴Plant Biology Graduate Group ⁵Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology University of California, Davis Davis, California 95616

Summary

Epigenetic gene silencing suppresses transposon activity and is critical for normal development [1, 2]. Two common epigenetic gene-silencing marks are DNA methylation and histone H3 lysine 9 dimethylation (H3K9me2) [1]. In Arabidopsis thaliana, H3K9me2, catalyzed by the methyltransferase KRYPTONITE (KYP/ SUVH4), is required for maintenance of DNA methylation outside of the standard CG sequence context [3, 4]. Additionally, loss of DNA methylation in the met1 mutant correlates with a loss of H3K9me2 [5, 6]. Here we show that KYP-dependent H3K9me2 is found at non-CG methylation sites in addition to those rich in CG methylation. Furthermore, we show that the SRA domain of KYP binds directly to methylated DNA, and SRA domains with missense mutations found in loss-of-function kyp mutants have reduced binding to methylated DNA in vitro. These data suggest that DNA methylation is required for the recruitment or activity of KYP and suggest a self-reinforcing loop between histone and DNA methylation. Lastly, we found that SRA domains from two Arabidopsis SRA-RING proteins also bind methylated DNA and that the SRA domains from KYP and SRA-RING proteins prefer methylcytosines in different sequence contexts. Hence, unlike the methyl-binding domain (MBD), which binds only methylated-CpG sequences, the SRA domain is a versatile new methyl-DNA-binding motif.

Results and Discussion

Histone H3K9 Dimethylation Is Correlated with Levels of DNA Methylation

We utilized chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and genomic bisulfite sequencing to further investigate the relationship between histone methylation and DNA methylation. In *Arabidopsis*, DNA methylation (5-methyl cytosine) occurs not only at CG residues, but also at

*Correspondence: jacobsen@ucla.edu

CNG and asymmetric cytosines (CNN) [2]. METHYL-TRANSFERASE 1 (MET1), a homolog of mammalian Dnmt1, maintains methylation at CG residues [7, 8], whereas CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3) maintains the majority of CNG methylation and a small amount of the CNN methylation [9, 10]. A third enzyme, DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLASE 2 (DRM2), which is mainly responsible for maintaining CNN methylation, plays a minor role in the maintenance of CNG methylation and is also required for de novo methylation of cytosines in all sequence contexts [2, 11]. Genomic regions that are enriched in methylated DNA are also found associated with H3K9me2 [12–14].

It has previously been shown that mutations in KYP lead to reduction of non-CG DNA methylation, but not CG methylation, suggesting that non-CG methylation is dependent on histone H3K9me2 [3, 4]. CMT3 is responsible for this non-CG methylation and has been shown in vitro to bind directly to H3 tails trimethylated at lysine 9 and lysine 27 [9, 10, 15]. Conversely, the loss of DNA methylation in *met1* mutants is also associated with decreased H3K9me2 at many loci [5, 6, 12, 16]. One possible explanation for this observation is that KYP is in a complex with MET1, such that loss of MET1 causes a loss of KYP activity. A second possibility is that DNA methylation itself directly affects KYP activity or targeting.

To test these ideas, we examined H3K9me2 levels in different DNA methyltransferase mutants and at two loci with very different patterns of CG or non-CG methvlation (see Figure S1 in the Supplemental Data available online). AtSN1 is a 170 bp SINE retrotransposon heavily methylated at non-CG and to a lesser extent at CG sites (~13 versus 3 per element; Figure 1A, see also [17]). AtSN1 is also marked by H3K9me2, which is dependent on KYP, illustrated by its loss in a kyp null line, kyp-6 (Figure 1B). Elimination of most of the DNA methylation in the drm1 drm2 cmt3 triple mutant also results in significant loss of H3K9me2 methylation, showing a strong correlation between levels of DNA and histone methylation (Figure 1B). In contrast, the met1-3 mutation showed complete elimination of CG methylation from AtSN1, but only a minor effect on non-CG methylation (Figure 1A), and H3K9me2 was observed at wild-type levels (Figure 1B). This suggests that KYP activity is not affected by loss of MET1 per se and that histone H3 methylation can be maintained at genomic regions containing only non-CG methylation.

Unlike *AtSN1*, *AtCOPIA4* is a CG-rich retrotransposon [18]. Bisulfite sequencing of a 500 nucleotide segment revealed an average of 22 methylated CG residues, 6 methylated CNGs, and 3 methylated asymmetric cytosines in wild-type plants (Figure 1C, see also [19]). This element is also associated with KYP-dependent H3K9me2 (Figure 1D; see also [18]). In *drm1 drm2 cmt3*, the CNG methylation was greatly reduced, while the CG and histone methylation levels were unchanged (Figures 1C and 1D). However, in *met1-3*, all DNA

⁶These authors contributed equally to this work.



Figure 1. Correlation of DNA and Histone Methylation in *Arabidopsis*

(A) Bisulfite sequencing data for wild-type, *drm1 drm2 cmt3*, and *met1* at *AtSN1*, expressed as the average number of methylated CG, CNG, or CNN residues per clone.

(B) ChIP analysis with antibodies specific for H3K9me2 from the wild-type, *drm1 drm2 cmt3*, *met1*, and *kyp-6*. *AtSN1* was amplified from the IP and no antibody control (no AB). *ACTIN* was amplified from the IP. The relative quantity precipitated for each sample was derived from a standard curve of input DNA. The average of two biological replicas done in duplicate is shown with standard deviation. (C) Bisulfite sequencing data from *AtCOPIA4*. The remaining non-CG methylation in the *drm1 drm2 cmt3* triple mutant is discussed in [19].

(D) ChIP analysis of H3K9me2 at *AtCOPIA4*. The average of two biological replicas done in duplicate is shown with standard deviation.

methylation was eliminated, as was most of the H3K9me2. Taking both the results at *AtSN1* and *AtCOPIA4* into account, we conclude that mutations in particular DNA methyltransferases—may not directly affect histone methylation, but rather the level of either CG or non-CG DNA methylation is correlated with H3K9me2. One explanation for these results is that DNA methylation is important for recruitment or activity of KYP.

The SRA Domain from KYP Binds Methylated DNA

The only recognizable domain in KYP besides the catalytic SET domain is the YDG (named for three conserved amino acids)/SRA (SET and RING associated) domain [20]. We isolated a new allele of *KYP (kyp-5)* with a missense mutation in the SRA domain (E208K) as part of a previously described screen for suppressors of silencing of the *SUPERMAN* locus (Figure 2A) [9]. Like null alleles [3, 5, 13], this allele decreased H3K9me2 in chromocenters (Figure 2B). In addition, two previously reported mutant *kyp* alleles contained missense mutations (S200F and R260H) in the SRA domain, and transcriptional silencing, resulting from overexpression of the KYP-related gene SUVH2, was alleviated by mutation of its SRA domain [4, 21]. These results show that the SRA domain is critical for KYP function.

The role of the SRA domain is unclear, but biochemical studies of a class of mammalian SRA- and RINGdomain-containing proteins have been reported. In the murine protein Np95, the SRA domain was shown to bind histone H3 in vitro and to be required for chromatin association in vivo [22]. However, a second study demonstrated that a human SRA-RING protein, ICBP90, bound methylated DNA in crude extracts, suggesting that the SRA either binds methylated DNA directly or binds indirectly through a methyl-DNA-binding domain protein present in the extracts [23].

We therefore tested whether the SRA domain from KYP was sufficient for binding to either histone H3 or methylated DNA. An in vitro translated SRA domain did not bind histone H3 (data not shown). However, analysis of DNA binding by mobility-shift assays with a purified GST (glutathione S-transferase)-tagged KYP-SRA fusion protein (amino acids 1–334, Figure 2C) revealed preferential binding to double-stranded oligo-nucleotides containing 5-methyl cytosine residues in all sequence contexts (all-^mC) compared to unmethylated oligonucleotides with the same sequence (all-C) (Figure 3A). In contrast, a GST-tagged KYP-SET fusion protein (amino acids 335–601) did not bind to methylated or unmethylated DNA. The addition of an anti-GST antibody to the all-^mC binding reaction blocked DNA binding (last two lanes in Figure 3A). These results indicate that the amino terminus of KYP containing the SRA domain binds methylated but not unmethylated DNA.

Further analysis with various double-stranded oligonucleotides containing only CG, CNG, or CNN methylation revealed that the SRA domain in KYP binds to DNA with methylated cytosines in all contexts with a preference for CNG and CNN sequences (Figure 3B). This preference was supported by competition experiments (Figures 3C and 3H).

To provide additional evidence that the SRA domain was indeed responsible for methyl-DNA binding, we engineered two different SRA mutations into the GST-KYP SRA construct (E208K and S200F). We observed weaker overall binding and a reduced ability of the mutant proteins to discriminate between methylated and unmethylated oligonucleotides (Figures 3D and 3E). These results strongly suggest that the SRA domain is responsible for methyl-DNA binding in the KYP amino terminus.

SUVH6 SRA Domain Has a Binding Specificity Similar to KYP

In addition to KYP, SRA domains are found in the eight other histone methyltransferase genes (SUVH class) in *Arabidopsis* [20]. We tested one of these, SUVH6, to determine whether it too could discriminate between methylated and unmethylated DNA. GST-SUVH6 fulllength protein (amino acids 1–781) bound methylated, but not unmethylated, oligonucleotides (Figure S2A).

Α

			*
SUVH8	CPDFDSR TEAERESGNQEIVDSILMR DAVRR	LCQLNYRKDKILTASTNCMNLGVRTN	-MTR
SUVH7	RGNFDSEITEAETETGNQEIVDSVMMRFDAVRR	LCQINHPEDILTTASGNCTKMGVKTN	-TRR
SUVH3	SSDFDSGISAAEREDGNÄYLVSSVLMRFDAVRR	LSQVEFTKSATSKAAGTLMSNGVRTN	-MKK
SUVH1	NMNFESGINVADRENGNRELVLSVLMRFDALRRR	FAQLEDAKEAVSGIIKRPDLKSGSTCMGRGVRTN	-TKK
SUVH2	RELARIAILGHEQRKELRQVMKRTRMTYESLRIH	LMAESMKNHVLGQGRRRRSDMAAAYIMRDRGLWLN	YDKH
SUVH9	SELVRITDVGPESERQFREHVRKTRMIYDSLRMF	LMMEEAKRNGVG-GRRARADGKAGKAGSMMRDCMLWMN	RDKR
SUVH6	RILGVGTSSGSSSGDSSRNKVKETLRLFHGVCRK	ILQEDEAKPEDQRRKGKGLRIDFEASTILKRNGKFLN	SGVH
SUVH5	RLSNGGLPSCSSSGDSARYKVKETMRLFHETCKK	IMQEEEARPRKRDGGNFKVVCEASKILKSKGKNLY	SGTQ
SUVH4	KQKLSVSINGKDVNLEPHLKVTKCLRLFNKQYLL	CVQAKLSRPDLKGVTEMIKAKAIL	YPRK
ORTH2	SLVAAIR AKVSK-SAAATTSKVPHFISNQDRPDK	AFTTERAKKTGKANAASGKIYVTIPPDHFGPI	PAEN
ORTH1	ALVSAIRLANVTKCSVEATAAKVHHIIRNQDRPEK	AFTTERAVKTGKANAASGKFFVTIPRDHFGPI	PAEN
NP95	CRKCACHVCGGREAPEKQLLCDECDMAFHLYCLKPPLTSVPPEPEWYCPSCRTDSSEV	VQAGEKLKESKKKAKMASATSSSRRDWGKGMACVGRTTECTI	VPAN
ICBP90	CRVCACH CGGRODPDKOLMCDECDMAEHIYCLDPPLSSVPSEDEWYCPECRNDASEV	VLAGERLRESKKNAKMASATSSSORDWGKGMACVGRTKECTI	VPSN

	#	*
SUVH8	RICPIPGVOVGDIFYYWCEMCLVGLHRNTAGGIDSLLAKESGVDGPAATSV	WTSGKYDNETEDLETLIYSGHGGKPCDQVLQRGNRA
SUVH7	RIGAVPGIHVGDIFYYWGEMCLVGLHKSNYGGIDFFTAAESAVEGHAAMCV	/VTAGOYDGETEGLDTLIYSGOGG-TDVYGNARDOEMKGGNLA
SUVH3	RVCTVPGIEVGDIFFSRIEMCLVGLHMQTMAGIDYIISKAGSDEESLATSI	IVSSGRYEGEAQDPESLIYSGQGGNADKNRQASDQKLERGNLA
SUVH1	RPGIVPGVEIGDVEFFRFEMCLVGLHSPSMAGIDYLVVKGETEEEPIATSI	IVSSGYYDNDEGNPDVLIYTGQGGNADKDKQSSDQKLERGNLA
SUVH2	IVGPVTGVEVGDIFFYRMELCVLCLHGQTQAGIDCLTAERSATGEPIATSI	IVVS GGYEDDEDTGDVLVYTGH<mark>G</mark>GQDHQHKQCDNQRLVGGNLG
SUVH9	IVCSIPGVQVCDIEFFRFELCVMCLHGHPQSCIDFLTGSLSSNGEPIATSV	/IVS GGYE DDDDQGDVIM <mark>YTG</mark> Q <mark>G</mark> GQDRLGRQAEH <mark>Q</mark> RLEGGNLA
SUVH6	ILGEVPGVEVGDE QYRMELNILGIHKPSQAGIDYMKYGKAKVATSI	IVASCGYDDHLDNSDVLTYTC <mark>QC</mark> GNVMQVKKKGEELKEPEDQKLITGNLA
SUVH5	IICTVPGVEVGDE QYRMELNLLGIHRPSQSGIDYMKDDGGELVATSI	IVSSCGYNDVLDNSDVLIYTCQCGNVGKKKNNEPPKDQQLVTGNLA
SUVH4	IIGDLPGIDVCHREFSRAEMCAVCFUNHWLNGIDYMSMEYEKEYSNYKLPLAVSI	IVMSCQYEDDLDNADTVTYTCQCGHNLTGNKRQIKDQLLERGNLA
ORT H2	DPVRNQGLLVCESWEDRLECRQWCAHFPHVAGIAGQSTYGAQSV	/ALS <mark>GGY</mark> KDDEDHGEWFLYTGS <mark>G</mark> GRDLSGNKR-TNKEQSFD Q KFEKS <mark>N</mark> AA
ORTH1	DVTRKQGVLVCESWEDRQECRQWCAHFPHIAGIAGQSAVGAQSV	ALSCGYDDDEDHGEWFLYTCSCGRDLSGNKR-INKKOSSDQAFKNMNES
NP95	HFGPIPGVPVGTMWRFRVQVSESGVHRPHVAGIHGRSNDGAYSI	VLAGGYEDDVDNGNYFTYTGSGGRDLSGNKR-TAG-QSSDQKLTNNNRA
ICBP90	HYGPIPGIPVGTMWRFRVQVSESCVHRPHVAGIHGRSNDGSYSI	LVLA <mark>GGYEDDVDHGNFFTYTG</mark> SGGRDLSGNKR-TAE-QSCD <mark>Q</mark> KLTNT <mark>N</mark> RA

		+	¥
SUVH8	LEASVRRRNE	VRVIRGELYNNEKVYIYDGLYLVSDCWQVTGKSG-FKEYRFKLLRK	PGOPPG-YAIWKLVE
SUVH7	LEASVSKGND	VRVVRGVIHPHENNQKIYIYDGMYLVSKFWTVTGKSG-FKEFRFKLVRK	PNOPPA-YAIWKTVE
SUVH3	LENSLRKGNG	VRVVRGEEDAASKTGKIYIYDGLYSISESWVEK <mark>C</mark> KS <mark>C</mark> -CNTFKYKLVRQ	PGQPPA-FGFWKSVQ
SUVH1	LEKSLRRDSA	VRVIRGLKEASHNAKIYIYDGLYEIKESWVEK <mark>G</mark> KSG-HNTFKYKLVRA	PGQPPA-FASWTAIQ
SUVH2	MERSMHYGIE	VRVIRGIKYENSISSKVYVYDGLYKIVDWWFAVGKSG-FGVFKFRLVRI	EGOPMMGSAVMRFAQ
SUVH9	MERSMYYGIE	VRVIRGLKYENEVSSRVYVYDGLFRIVDSWFDVGKSG-FGVFKYRLERI	EGCAEMGSSVLKFAR
SUVH6	LATSIEKQTP	VRVIRGKHKSTHDKSKGG-NYVYDGLYLVEKYWQQVGSHG-MNVFKFQLRRI	PGOPELSWVEVKKSK
SUVH5	LKNSINKKNP	VRVIRGIKNTTLQSSVVAKNYV <mark>YDGLYLV</mark> EEYWEETGSHG-KLVFKFKLRRI	PGOPELPWKEVAKSK
SUVH4	LKHCCEYNVP	VRVTRGHNCKSSYTKRVYTYDGLYKVEKFWAQKGVSG-FTVYKYRLKRL	EGQPELITTDQVNFVA
ORT H2	LKLSCKLGYP	VRVVRSHKE-KRSAYAPEEGVRYDGVYRIEKCWRKVGVQGSFKVCRYLFVRC	DNEPAPWTSDENGDR
ORTH1	LRLSCKMGYP	VRVVRSWKE-KRSAYAPAEGVRYDGVYRIEKCWSNVGVQGSFKVCRYLFVRC	DNEPAPWTSDEHGDR
NP95	LALNCHSPINEK-GAEAEDWRQGKP	VRVVRNMKGGKHSKYAPAEGNRYDGIYKVVKYWPERGKSG-FLVWRYLLRRD	DTEPEPWTR-EGKDR
ICBP90	LALNCFAPINDQEGAEAKDWRSGKP	VRVVRNVKGGKNSKYAPAEGNRYDGIYKVVKYWPEK <mark>G</mark> KSC-FLVWRYLLRRD	DDEPGPWTK-EGKDR



Figure 2. The SRA Domain

(A) ClustalW alignment of the SRA domains from the nine SUVH genes, two *Arabidopsis* SRA- and RING-domain-containing proteins, mouse np95, and human ICBP90. The site of the KYP S200F [4] mutation is indicated with #, the E208K mutation is indicated with a *, and the R260H [4] mutation is indicated with a +. Arrows show the SRA domain identified by SMART, and the boxed amino acids are those included in the GST-SUVH6-SRA fusion protein. The association of SRA domains with SET domain proteins is unique to the plant kingdom.
(B) Immunofluorescence of H3K9me2 compared to DAPI in nuclei isolated from wild-type, *kyp-2* (null allele), and *kyp-5* (SRA mutation E208K).
(C) Regions of the SUVH genes contained in the various constructs analyzed in Figure 3.

Furthermore, competition experiments showed that GST-SUVH6 had a higher affinity for methylated CNG and CNN substrates than methylated CG, a preference very similar to that of KYP (Figure S2B).

In order to engineer a fusion protein with just the SRA domain (Figure 2C), we first tried cloning the SRA domain predicted by SMART [24] (delineated by arrows in Figure 2A) into vectors containing a His-tag and found

1



Figure 3. KYP-SRA Domain Preferentially Binds Methylated DNA

(A) Mobility shift assays with increasing amounts of GST-KYP-SRA (KYP-SRA) (25, 50, and 100 nM) added to a binding reaction with either a methylated (m) or unmethylated (u) all-C double-stranded oligonucleotide probe. GST-KYP-SET (KYP-SET) was added at 100 nM in the indicated lanes. Anti-GST antibodies (AB) were added to the binding reaction shown in the last lane.

(B, D, and E) KYP-SRA (B), KYP-SRA(E208K) (D), or KYP-SRA(S200F) (F) was bound to oligonucleotides with cytosines methylated in all sequences contexts (all-^mC), or in a CG, CNG, or CNN context. In (D) and (F), the last lane is GST-KYP-SRA bound to the all-^mC probe used as a positive control.

(C) Competition assays were performed by addition of the indicated unlabeled DNA, added in 1000-fold excess to the all-^mC probe.

(F) GST-SUVH6-SRA (10 nM) was bound to the indicated probes.

(G and H) GST-SUVH6-SRA (10 nM) or GST-KYP-SRA (100 nM) was bound to the methylated CNG probe with either no competitor (-), or 100×, 300×, 1000×, or 3000× unlabeled ^mCG or ^mCNG oligonucleotides. The lane indicated by fp (free probe) has no added protein.

that the tagged proteins were insoluble. GST or MBP (maltose binding protein) fusions were soluble, but inactive in binding DNA. We reanalyzed the SRA domain by aligning the nine different SUVH SRAs and two SRA-RINGs (see below) to determine whether any additional conserved regions outside the annotated SRA domain existed that could be important for its function. As shown in Figure 2A, a short stretch of amino acids upstream of the annotated SRA domain was found to be conserved. When this extended SRA domain from SUVH6 was fused to GST (SUVH6-SRA), it was completely soluble and highly active in binding methylated DNA. Importantly, like full-length SUVH6, SUVH6-SRA preferred methylated CNG and CNN over CG substrates (Figure 3F). Such a preference was confirmed by competition experiments with methylated CNG substrates and methylated CG or CNG oligonucleotides as competitors (Figure 3G).

SRA Domains Associated with *Arabidopsis* Ring Proteins Preferentially Bind Methylated CG

In addition to the histone methyltransferases, eight other Arabidopsis proteins contain the SRA domain. Two proteins consist of a single SRA domain, whereas a class of six proteins homologous to Np95 contains the SRA as well as PHD and RING domains. To determine whether the SRA domain found in the SRA-RING proteins also binds methylated DNA, we examined two Arabidopsis SRA-RING genes, which we named (ORTHRUS1) ORTH1 (At5g39550) and ORTH2 (At1g57820) (Figure 2A). Three GST fusion constructs were made from ORTH1: PNS contains the PHD domain, the N-terminal RING, and the SRA domain; PN contains the PHD and N-terminal RING domains; and SC contains the SRA and Cterminal RING domains (Figure 4A). In addition, a GSTtagged, full-length ORTH2 was made. Each of the proteins containing an SRA domain (PNS, SC, ORTH2)



Figure 4. Binding of the ORTH Proteins to Methylated DNA Is Dependent on the SRA Domain

(A) Diagram of the different regions of ORTH1 or ORTH2 fused to GST and used in mobility shift assays.

(B) Mobility-shift assays were done with the indicated protein with either unmethylated or methylated CG probes. The last lane (indicated by *) contains GST antibody that inhibited binding of GST-PNS to methylated CG.

(C) Mobility-shift assays were performed with increasing amounts (35, 70, and 140 nM) of GST-PNS binding to ^{m}CG , ^{m}CNG , or ^{m}CNN . (D) Mobility-shift assays with increasing amounts of GST-PNS (70, 140, and 280 nM) or GST-KYP (77, 154, and 308 nM) with methylated (Methylated) or unmethylated (U) CG. The first lane in all panels is the free probe (fp).

was able to bind methylated CG, CNG, or CNN substrates, but significantly less binding was observed to the unmethylated substrates (Figure 4B and Figures S3A and S3B). Interestingly, unlike the KYP and SUVH6 SRA domains that prefer CNG and CNN methylation, ORTH1 and ORTH2 show preferential binding to methylated CG substrates (Figures 4C and 4D, Figures S3C and S3D). Two different mutations introduced into the SRA domain in the PNS construct, S292F and R362H (corresponding to amino acids 200 and 260 based on the KYP sequence [Figure 2A]), resulted in loss of DNA binding (Figures S4A and S4B). These results implicate SRA-RING proteins in functions related to DNA methylation and further support the generality of the function of the SRA as a methyl-cytosine-binding domain. The SRA domain differs from the methyl-CpG-binding domain (MBD) found in several mammalian proteins such as MeCP2, because the MBD binds only methylated CG [25], but the SRA domain can recognize methylated DNA in any sequence context. Further, our data suggest that different SRA domains display preference for methylated cytosines in different sequence contexts.

Conclusions

Our findings extend our understanding of DNA and histone methylation pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The observation that the SRA domain can bind directly to methylated DNA suggests that regions rich in either CG or non-CG DNA methylation may attract KYP, leading to H3K9 methylation. Alternatively, KYP could be targeted to heterochromatin by other mechanisms, and the binding of methylated DNA to the SRA domain may be somehow required for KYP activity. KYP-dependent histone methylation would then provide a binding site for CMT3 leading to CNG methylation, which would promote further action by KYP. This model suggests a self-reinforcing feedback loop for the maintenance of DNA and histone methylation and may help to explain the remarkable stability of epigenetic silent states.

The potential targeting of KYP to methylated DNA is similar to the interaction of the histone methyltransferase SETDB1 with MBD1, which links DNA methylation to histone methylation in mammalian chromatin [26]. Thus, although the mechanisms are clearly different, the general phenomenon of DNA methylation targeting histone methylation appears to be conserved between plants and animals.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Data include four figures, one table, and Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://www.current-biology.com/cgi/content/full/17/4/379/DC1/.

Acknowledgments

We thank S. Pradhan for oligonucleotides, D. Zilberman for sequencing kyp-5, and A.M. Palanca and W. Turki-Judeh for technical assistance. M.B. was funded by American Heart Association Post-doctoral Fellowship 0625014Y. E.K. was supported by NIH training grant GM0007377-27. Funded by NIH Grant GM60398 to S.E.J and NSF-2010 MCB-0519970 to J.C. S.E.J. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Received: November 20, 2006 Revised: December 28, 2006 Accepted: January 3, 2007 Published online: January 18, 2007

References

 Stancheva, I. (2005). Caught in conspiracy: cooperation between DNA methylation and histone H3K9 methylation in the establishment and maintenance of heterochromatin. Biochem. Cell Biol. 83, 385–395.

- Chan, S.W., Henderson, I.R., and Jacobsen, S.E. (2005). Gardening the genome: DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6, 351–360.
- Jackson, J.P., Lindroth, A.M., Cao, X., and Jacobsen, S.E. (2002). Control of CpNpG DNA methylation by the KRYPTONITE histone H3 methyltransferase. Nature 416, 556–560.
- Malagnac, F., Bartee, L., and Bender, J. (2002). An Arabidopsis SET domain protein required for maintenance but not establishment of DNA methylation. EMBO J. 21, 6842–6852.
- Soppe, W.J., Jasencakova, Z., Houben, A., Kakutani, T., Meister, A., Huang, M.S., Jacobsen, S.E., Schubert, I., and Fransz, P.F. (2002). DNA methylation controls histone H3 lysine 9 methylation and heterochromatin assembly in *Arabidopsis*. EMBO J. *21*, 6549–6559.
- Tariq, M., Saze, H., Probst, A.V., Lichota, J., Habu, Y., and Paszkowski, J. (2003). Erasure of CpG methylation in *Arabidopsis* alters patterns of histone H3 methylation in heterochromatin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *100*, 8823–8827.
- Finnegan, E.J., Peacock, W.J., and Dennis, E.S. (1996). Reduced DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* results in abnormal plant development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 8449–8454.
- Ronemus, M.J., Galbiati, M., Ticknor, C., Chen, J., and Dellaporta, S.L. (1996). Demethylation-induced developmental pleiotropy in *Arabidopsis*. Science 273, 654–657.
- Lindroth, A.M., Cao, X., Jackson, J.P., Zilberman, D., McCallum, C.M., Henikoff, S., and Jacobsen, S.E. (2001). Requirement of CHROMOMETHYLASE3 for maintenance of CpXpG methylation. Science 292, 2077–2080.
- Bartee, L., Malagnac, F., and Bender, J. (2001). Arabidopsis cmt3 chromomethylase mutations block non-CG methylation and silencing of an endogenous gene. Genes Dev. 15, 1753– 1758.
- Cao, X., and Jacobsen, S.E. (2002). Locus-specific control of asymmetric and CpNpG methylation by the DRM and CMT3 methyltransferase genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99 (Suppl 4), 16491–16498.
- Johnson, L., Cao, X., and Jacobsen, S. (2002). Interplay between two epigenetic marks. DNA methylation and histone H3 lysine 9 methylation. Curr. Biol. 12, 1360–1367.
- Jackson, J.P., Johnson, L., Jasencakova, Z., Zhang, X., Perez-Burgos, L., Singh, P.B., Cheng, X., Schubert, I., Jenuwein, T., and Jacobsen, S.E. (2004). Dimethylation of histone H3 lysine 9 is a critical mark for DNA methylation and gene silencing in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Chromosoma *112*, 308–315.
- Gendrel, A.V., Lippman, Z., Yordan, C., Colot, V., and Martienssen, R.A. (2002). Dependence of heterochromatic histone H3 methylation patterns on the *Arabidopsis* gene DDM1. Science 297, 1871–1873.
- Lindroth, A.M., Shultis, D., Jasencakova, Z., Fuchs, J., Johnson, L., Schubert, D., Patnaik, D., Pradhan, S., Goodrich, J., Schubert, I., et al. (2004). Dual histone H3 methylation marks at lysines 9 and 27 required for interaction with CHROMOMETHYLASE3. EMBO J. 23, 4286–4296.
- Mathieu, O., Probst, A.V., and Paszkowski, J. (2005). Distinct regulation of histone H3 methylation at lysines 27 and 9 by CpG methylation in *Arabidopsis*. EMBO J. 24, 2783–2791.
- Xie, Z., Johansen, L.K., Gustafson, A.M., Kasschau, K.D., Lellis, A.D., Zilberman, D., Jacobsen, S.E., and Carrington, J.C. (2004). Genetic and functional diversification of small RNA pathways in plants. PLoS Biol. 2, e104. 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020104.
- Lippman, Z., May, B., Yordan, C., Singer, T., and Martienssen, R. (2003). Distinct mechanisms determine transposon inheritance and methylation via small interfering RNA and histone modification. PLoS Biol. 1, e67. 10.1371/journal.pbio.0000067.
- Henderson, I.R., Zhang, X., Lu, C., Johnson, L., Meyers, B.C., Green, P.J., and Jacobsen, S.E. (2006). Dissecting *Arabidopsis thaliana* DICER function in small RNA processing, gene silencing and DNA methylation patterning. Nat. Genet. *38*, 721–725.
- Baumbusch, L.O., Thorstensen, T., Krauss, V., Fischer, A., Naumann, K., Assalkhou, R., Schulz, I., Reuter, G., and Aalen, R.B. (2001). The *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome contains at least 29 active genes encoding SET domain proteins that can be assigned to four evolutionarily conserved classes. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 4319–4333.

- Naumann, K., Fischer, A., Hofmann, I., Krauss, V., Phalke, S., Irmler, K., Hause, G., Aurich, A.C., Dorn, R., Jenuwein, T., et al. (2005). Pivotal role of AtSUVH2 in heterochromatic histone methylation and gene silencing in *Arabidopsis*. EMBO J. 24, 1418–1429.
- Citterio, E., Papait, R., Nicassio, F., Vecchi, M., Gomiero, P., Mantovani, R., Di Fiore, P.P., and Bonapace, I.M. (2004). Np95 is a histone-binding protein endowed with ubiquitin ligase activity. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 2526–2535.
- Unoki, M., Nishidate, T., and Nakamura, Y. (2004). ICBP90, an E2F-1 target, recruits HDAC1 and binds to methyl-CpG through its SRA domain. Oncogene 23, 7601–7610.
- Schultz, J., Milpetz, F., Bork, P., and Ponting, C.P. (1998). SMART, a simple modular architecture research tool: identification of signaling domains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 5857– 5864.
- Meehan, R.R., Lewis, J.D., McKay, S., Kleiner, E.L., and Bird, A.P. (1989). Identification of a mammalian protein that binds specifically to DNA containing methylated CpGs. Cell 58, 499– 507.
- Sarraf, S.A., and Stancheva, I. (2004). Methyl-CpG binding protein MBD1 couples histone H3 methylation at lysine 9 by SETDB1 to DNA replication and chromatin assembly. Mol. Cell 15, 595–605.